

Docket No.: 250936US2

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RE: Application Serial No.: 10/809,362

Applicants: Mitsunori NARUSE, et al.

Filing Date: March 26, 2004

For: TORQUE SENSOR AND ELECTRIC

STEERING DEVICE USING THE SAME

Group Art Unit: 2855 Examiner: DAVIS, O.

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

## RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Our check in the amount of \$0 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER-& NEUSTADT, P.C.

Gregory J. Maier

Registration No. 25,599

Customer Number

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone) (703) 413-2220 (fax) Christopher D. Ward Registration No. 41,367 OBLON
SPIVAK
MCCLELLAND
MAIER
- &
NEUSTADT
P.C.

attorneys at law

GREGORY J. MAIER (703) 413-3000 GMAIER@OBLON.COM

CHRISTOPHER D. WARD SENIOR ASSOCIATE (703) 413-3000 CWARD@OBLON.COM **DOCKET NO.: 250936US2** 

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF

Mitsunori NARUSE, et al.

: EXAMINER: DAVIS, O.

SERIAL NO: 10/809,362

FILED: MARCH 26, 2004

: GROUP ART UNIT: 2855

FOR: TORQUE SENSOR AND

**ELECTRIC STEERING** 

DEVICE USING THE SAME

## RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

**COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450** 

SIR:

In response to the Restriction Requirement dated August 10, 2005, the Applicants elect with traverse the invention of Group II corresponding to Claims 3-5.

The Applicants respectfully traverse the restriction requirement based on MPEP § 803, which states:

> If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions.

The claims of the present invention would appear to be part of an overlapping search area. Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully traverse the outstanding restriction requirement on the grounds that a search and examination of the entire application would not place a serious burden on the Examiner.

Application Serial No.: 10/809,362

Response to the Restriction Requirement dated August 10, 2005

Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the requirement to elect a single invention be withdrawn, and that a full examination on the merits of Claims 1-5 be conducted.

Respectfully Submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Gregory J. Maier

Registration No. 25,599

Attorney of Record

Christopher D. Ward Registration No. 41,367

Customer Number

22850

Tel. (703) 413-3000 Fax. (703) 413-2220 (OSMMN 10/01)

GJM:CDW:brf

1:\atty\cdw\25xxxx\250936US2\Response to Restriction Requirement.doc